

THE UNIVERSITY OF DAR ES SALAAM

**GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF
ACADEMIC STAFF PERFORMANCE**

**ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY VICE CHANCELLOR
(ACADEMIC)**

JANUARY 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Promotion Criteria for Academic Staff	1
2.	Guidelines for the Assessment of Academic Publications.....	1
3.	Staff who do not Fill in OPRAS Form.....	7
4.	Staff Members who Overstay their Leave of Absence without Permission	7
5.	How to Handle Members of Staff who Publish While on Leave of Absence.....	8
6.	Members of Staff who do not Submit Original Certificates and Copies of Dissertations	8
7.	Staff Availability for Consultation or Lack Thereof.....	8
8.	Academic Dishonesty.....	9
Appendix A		10
	Criteria for Promotion – Academic Staff	10
	General Guidelines for Assessment of Academic Staff	11
Appendix B		12
	Guidelines for Assessment of Publications for Academic Staff Review	12

THE UNIVERSITY OF DAR ES SALAAM

GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC STAFF PERFORMANCE

1. CRITERIA FOR THE PROMOTION OF ACADEMIC STAFF

1.1 Criteria for the Promotion of Academic Staff (See Appendix A).

2. GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC WORK

2.1 Guidelines for the assessment of individual publications and related papers (Appendix B)

(i) **Dissertations**

Dissertations and theses can be converted into books, which can be counted for promotion, provided that significant material has been added to the dissertation/thesis and the resulting book has been subjected to a rigorous review process by the publisher.

(ii) **Research Reports**

Research reports should not be considered for promotion.

(iii) **Conference Papers Retrievable from Proceedings**

(a) Only papers retrievable from referred proceedings should be considered for promotion.

(b) The papers should be evaluated by two assessors. If the evaluations are positive, the paper should be accepted for promotion.

(c) The published paper is to be awarded 0 – 0.5 points.

(iv) **Editorship of a Book and Book Reviews**

Editorship of a book should not be awarded points. However, a review of a book that has been approved by a recognised publisher and which has been published in a recognised peer reviewed journal may be awarded 0 – 0.5 points.

(v) **Consultancy Reports**

(a) Consultancy reports registered by Colleges/Schools/Institutes should be considered for promotion to all ranks.

(b) Registered Consultancy reports should be evaluated by two reviewers.

(c) Consultancy reports should be awarded 0 – 0.5 points.

(vi) **Case Reports**

A Case Reports which has been published in a recognised peer reviewed journal may be awarded 0 – 0.5 points.

- (vii) **Extension Material**
Registered Extension Material shall be reviewed in the same manner as Consultancy Reports and awarded 0 – 0.5 points.
- (viii) **Single-authored and Co-authored Papers**
- (a) There should be consistency in all academic units in awarding authors of co-authored papers.
 - (b) Points awarded to the co-authored papers should be shared equally among all the authors.
 - (c) A minimum of three (3) points from papers in which the candidate is the lead author should be required for promotion to professorial ranks.
- (ix) **Publications in Referred Journals**
- (a) The terms “recognised”, “local”, “international” and “referred” journals should be defined in accordance with the guidelines approved by the Senate.
 - (b) An international journal is one with an international Editorial Board, an international Classification Index and is internationally retrievable.
 - (c) Only papers published in international journals as defined in (b) above should be required for promotion to professorial ranks.
 - (d) Journal papers should be awarded 0 – 1.0 point.
- (x) **Books**
- (a) Where authors produce a scholarly book with an ISBN number in the relevant speciality, it should be evaluated as a book and awarded 0 – 6.0 points (shared equally by all the authors if the book is multi-authored).
 - (b) Where authors produce a book with an ISBN number for lower levels of education (e.g. secondary or college) and the book has been approved by the responsible Ministry, it should be evaluated as a book and awarded 0 – 0.5 points (shared equally by all the authors if the book is multi-authored).
 - (c) Where authors contribute chapters to a scholarly book in the relevant speciality as outlined in (a) above, each chapter should be evaluated as a paper worth 0 – 1.0 points provided the total points awarded to the whole book do not exceed 6.0.
 - (d) Dictionaries, both Subject and General, approved by a recognised book publisher and with an ISBN number should be evaluated as books and awarded 0 – 6.0 points (shared equally by all the authors if the dictionary is multi-authored).
 - (e) Where authors contribute letters to a dictionary as outlined in (d) above, each letter should be evaluated as a chapter in a book

worth 0 – 1.0 point provided the total points awarded to the whole dictionary do not exceed 6.0.

(xi) Patents

Patented material in the relevant speciality registered by a duly recognised Patent Office should be evaluated and awarded 0 – 6.0 points (shared equally by all patent holders if the patent is held by more than one individual).

(xii) Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness should be evaluated by College/Institute/Department Quality Assurance Committees using guidelines approved by Senate and awarded 0 – 2.0 points accumulated over 3 consecutive years.

(xiii) Balance between Publications and Teaching

Teaching effectiveness and publications should be evaluated separately and awarded points and weights as indicated in Tables 1 and 2 below. In order to merit promotion, the candidate should attain at least the minimum number of points required for both teaching and publications separately.

(xiv) Grading Systems

- (a) The letter grade system should be used.
- (b) For the purpose of determining points, the letter grade awarded for “overall quality” of the paper/book/patent/report should be used.
- (c) The following points of publications should be assigned to the letter grades:

Table 1: Conversion of Letter Grades to Points for Publications

Letter Grade	Qualitative Evaluation of the Publication	Journal Papers	Chapters in a Book	Consultancy Reports	Scholarly Books & Patents	Books for Lower levels, Published Book Reviews, Conference Papers, Case Reports & Extension Material
A	Excellent	1.0	1.0	0.5	6	0.5
B+	Very Good	1.0	1.0	0.5	5	0.5
B	Good	0.5	0.5	0.25	3	0.25
C	Poor	0	0	0	0	0
D	Very Poor	0	0	0	0	0

2.2 Guidelines for the balance of papers in referred journals and those in proceedings

The balance of publications as shown in Section 2.3 (Tables 2 and 3) is as follows:

- (i) Promotion from Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian to Lecturer/Librarian requires the possession of a PhD. For members of the academic staff who are clinicians, promotion to Lecturer requires the possession of an MMed or MDent.

OR

For Assistant Lectures/Assistant Librarians on PhD training, a good progress report on the PhD program and at least 1 point from papers published in recognised peer reviewed journals and at least three years of service as Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian.

OR

For Assistant Lectures/Assistant Librarians who are not on PhD training, at least 2 points from papers published in recognised peer reviewed journal and at least three years of service as Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian.

- (ii) In addition to the other requirements specified in these Guidelines, promotion to Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian and higher ranks requires the possession of a PhD except for academic staff who are clinicians for which possession of an MMed/MDent will be sufficient.
- (iii) Journal papers from diversified sources should contribute at least 35% for promotion to Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian, 40% for promotion to Associate Professor and 45% for promotion to Professor.
- (iv) A scholarly book (or books) in the relevant speciality will be considered for promotion to the ranks of Senior Lecturer and above, as long as the book is reviewed and vetted by a respected senior academic in the relevant field/discipline. It should also be published by an internationally acclaimed (well-established, well-renowned) publishing house with a track record of professionally milled books and an in-house board of professional editors and advisors.
- (v) Patented material in the relevant speciality registered by a duly recognised Patent Office will be considered for promotion to the ranks of Senior Lecturer and above, as long as the patent is reviewed and vetted by a respected senior academic in the relevant field/discipline.
- (vi) The contribution of Consultancy reports and published Extension material should be limited to a maximum 10% for promotion to Professorial and Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian positions.
- (vii) The contribution of Chapters in a book, Conference papers, Letters in a dictionary, published Case Reports and published Book reviews should be limited to a maximum of 30% for

promotion to the position of Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian, 20% for promotion to Associate Professor and 15% for promotion to Professor.

- (viii) The contribution of Books and Patents should be limited to a maximum of 25% for promotion to the position of Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian and 30% for promotion to professorial ranks.
- (ix) Academic members of staff should, as far as possible, diversify the journals in which they publish their articles. Except for the few disciplines that may have highly specialised or limited journal titles, not more than 50% of papers considered for promotion to any rank should come from one journal title, whether for professorial or non-professorial ranks. In any case, a Department would have to make a special case to the Appointments Committee for any divergence from this rule to be considered.
- (x) For purposes of these Guidelines, Librarians are only those involved in students' training programmes leading to a degree offered by the University.

2.3. Balance between various types of scholarly works

The balance between the various types of publications and patents required for promotion to various ranks of the academic staff is as indicated in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Maximum and minimum weights permissible for promotion to various ranks of academic staff

Type of publication	Assistant Lecturer ¹ to Lecturer	Lecturer to Senior Lecturer	Senior Lecturer to Associate Professor	Associate Professor to Professor
Journal papers	100	Min. 35%	Min. 40%	Min. 45%
Books; Patents	0	Max. 25%	Max. 30%	Max. 30%
Chapters of a Book; Published Conference Papers; Case Reports; Book Reviews	0	Max. 30%	Max. 20%	Max. 15%
Consultancy Reports; Extension Materials	0	Max. 10%	Max. 10%	Max. 10%
Minimum Total Publication Points ²	1/2 ³	3	6	7
Minimum Teaching Effectiveness Points ⁵	1	2	2	2
Minimum Total Points Required	2/3 ⁴	5	8	9

NB

¹For non-PhD/MMed/MDent-holders only.

²Any combination of the publication components fulfilling the above criteria should total 100%.

³1 point for those on PhD programmes and 2 points for those not on PhD programmes

⁴2 points for those on PhD programmes and 3 points for those not on PhD programmes

⁵Teaching effectiveness to be evaluated separately and promotion awarded only after meeting the minimum specified teaching points as well as the minimum specified publication points.

2.4 Summary of Guidelines for Assessment of Academic Work

Table 4: Summary of Assessment of Publications

Types of Paper/Publication	Conditions for Acceptance	No. of Points
Dissertations/Theses	Can be converted into books which can be counted for promotion, provided that significant material has been added to the dissertation/thesis and the resulting book has been subjected to a rigorous review process by the publisher.	N.A.
Research Reports	Should not be considered	N.A.
Conference Papers	Should be retrievable from referred proceedings	0 – 0.5
Consultancy Reports	Should be registered and approved by College/Institute/School, and must be passed by two reviewers.	0 – 0.5
Technical Notes	Not to be considered	N.A.
Teaching	Quality Teaching should be a prerequisite for promotion of teaching staff	0 – 2.0
Published Book Reviews	To be evaluated	0 - 0.5
Journal Articles	Should be published in referred journals. The definition of recognized local, international and referred Journals should be as per the guidelines provided by the Senate.	0 – 1.0
Scholarly Books	A scholarly book with an ISBN number in the relevant speciality should be evaluated	0 – 6.0
Chapters in a Book	Each chapter to be evaluated	0 – 1.0
Lower level Books	A book with an ISBN number for lower levels of education (e.g. secondary or college) which has been approved by the responsible Ministry should be evaluated	0 – 0.5
Case Reports	Case Reports published in recognised refereed journals should be evaluated	0 – 0.5
Subject and General Dictionaries	A dictionary approved by a recognised book publisher should be evaluated as a book	0 - 6.0
Letters in Dictionaries	Each letter in a dictionary should be evaluated as a chapter in a book	0 -1.0
Co-authored Papers	Points awarded to any co-authored publication to be shared equally by all the authors	0 - 1.0
Extension Materials	Published Extension Materials should be evaluated as Consultancy Reports	0 – 0.5
Editorship and Book Reviews	Editorship of a book should not be evaluated. However, a review of a book that has been approved by a recognised publisher and which has been published in a recognised peer reviewed journal may	0 – 0.5

Types of Paper/Publication	Conditions for Acceptance	No. of Points
	be evaluated	
Patents	Patented material registered by a duly recognised Patent Office should be evaluated and the points shared equally by all patent holders.	0 – 6.0

3. ELIGIBILITY FOR ACADEMIC DUTY

An academic member of staff is required to have an academic qualification at least one level higher than the level he/she is allowed to teach/train. Notwithstanding the purpose of this paragraph, for PhD training, the academic staff is required to have a PhD and at least two years post PhD academic work experience.

4. STAFF WHO DO NOT FILL IN AND RETURN OPEN PERFORMANCE REVIEW AND APPRAISAL (OPRAS) FORMS

(i) Filling in of the OPRAS Form should be made part of the conditions of service, to be accepted and signed by each staff member each year.

(ii) Measures against staff members who do not submit the OPRAS form.

Each academic member of staff is obliged to complete and submit the OPRAS Form so as to allow evaluation of the member's performance during the year in terms of efficiency and effectiveness in carrying out his/her duties and responsibilities.

- If a member does not submit the OPRAS Form without acceptable reasons for the year under review, he/she will be served with a written warning.
- If non-submission of the OPRAS Form is repeated in the subsequent year, the staff member concerned will be served with a stern written warning.
- If this occurs in a third consecutive year, the staff member will be required to seek alternative employment.

(iii) The Deputy Vice Chancellor (Academic), Principals, Deans, Directors and Heads of Department should ensure that each staff member gets the OPRAS Form in good time.

5. STAFF MEMBERS WHO RESIGN OR WHOSE APPOINTMENT HAS BEEN TERMINATED FOR FAILURE TO RETURN TO WORK UPON EXPIRY OF THEIR UNPAID LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Staff members who, after being terminated or having resigned from University's service, wish to continue serving the University from where they

are, may be considered for recruitment through the parallel category of University Academic Allies or Associates.

6. HOW TO HANDLE MEMBERS OF STAFF WHO PUBLISH WHILE ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE

- (i) If and when staff members who cease to work for the University for various reasons reapply to join the University, they will be treated like any other person seeking University employment for the first time. Their publications and other activity records will be evaluated afresh.
- (ii) Staff members still employed by the University but who have been away for some time shall be eligible for promotion only after a minimum of one year of service to the University since the date of reporting back. However, the requirement of serving for a minimum of three years in one rank shall be maintained.
- (iii) Publications emerging from full-time research should be considered in the same way as any other publications of the staff member in question.
- (iv) Staff members who have been seconded by the Government to an institution where they continue carrying out academic work and who attain the requisite conditions for promotion while still on secondment should be evaluated and promoted on the understanding that matters pertaining to their salaries will be sorted out with Government.

7. MEMBERS OF STAFF WHO DO NOT SUBMIT ORIGINAL CERTIFICATES AND COPIES OF DISSERTATIONS

- (i) A staff member returning from study leave is required to submit her/his original degree certificate and copy of his/her dissertation/thesis within one year after completion of studies.
- (ii) A staff member who fails to submit his/her original degree certificate and copy of her/his dissertation/thesis within the specified period shall be considered to have failed to complete the programme and therefore liable for discontinuation from service.
- (iii) Certificates from unaccredited universities shall not be recognised.

8. STAFF AVAILABILITY FOR CONSULTATION BY STUDENTS OR LACK THEREOF

- (i) Each staff member is required to display clearly on his/her office door the times when he/she is available for consultation by students, indicating specific times for each subject. Each staff member should adhere to his/her consultation timetable.

- (ii) Heads of Department or Directors of Institutes where there are no departmental heads should warn a non-complying member verbally in the first instance and in writing if non-conformity persists.
- (iii) Should warnings by a Head of Department of Institute Director fail to induce change, then the employer should revert to the scheme of service and invoke it, treating the non-complying member in the same way as someone who absents himself/herself from duty without permission.

9. ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

- 9.1 The assessment of publications submitted by academic members of staff at the University of Dar es Salaam is carried out on the assumption that the academic member of staff whose publication(s) is or are subject to assessment observed all the rules against academic dishonesty.
- 9.2 Where evidence that establishes a case for academic dishonesty on the part of the academic member of staff is tendered to the University of Dar es Salaam authority, either before, during or after the assessment, the University shall have the power to commence disciplinary proceedings against the individual academic member of staff.
- 9.3 Proof of academic dishonesty shall render the publication(s) submitted invalid, regardless of whatever disciplinary measures were taken against the academic member of staff in question.
- 9.4 Acts of academic dishonesty include but are not limited to:
 - (a) Plagiarism, or
 - (b) The acquisition and use, without acknowledgement, of academic materials belonging to someone else.
- 9.5 The term “plagiarism” includes, but is not limited to, a deliberate or negligent use by paraphrase or direct quotation of the published or unpublished work of another person without full and clear acknowledgement.

APPENDIX A

CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION OF CADEMIC STAFF

NO.	Position	Qualifications
1.	Tutorial Assistant	First Degree at First or Upper Second Division with a GPA of 3.8 or above.
2.	Tutorial Assistant to Assistant Lecturer	Masters Degree with a B+ performance or GPA of 4.0 and above, potentially good academically.
3.	Assistant Lecturer /Assistant Librarian to Lecturer/Librarian	<p>(a) Promotion from Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian to Lecturer/Librarian requires possession of a PhD or MMed/MDent for Assistant Lecturers who are also clinicians.</p> <p>(b) For Assistant Lecturers/Assistant Librarians on PhD training, promotion to the next rank requires a good progress report on the PhD program and at least 1 point from papers published in recognised peer reviewed journals, 1 point from teaching and at least three years of service as Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian.</p> <p>(c) For Assistant Lectures/ Assistant Librarians who are not on PhD training, at least 2 points from papers published in recognised peer reviewed journals, 1 point from teaching and at least three years of service as Assistant Lecturer/Assistant Librarian.</p>
4.	Lecturer/Librarian to Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian	<p>(a) Promotion from Lecturer/Librarian to Senior Lecturer/Senior Librarian requires a PhD, a minimum of three years since the last promotion, at least 3 points from publications and 2 points from teaching.</p> <p>(b) For academic staff who are clinicians, promotion from Lecturer to Senior Lecturer requires possession of a PhD or MMed/MDent, a minimum of three years since the last promotion, at least 3 points from publications and 2 points from teaching.</p>
5.	Senior Lecturer/ /Senior Librarian to Associate Professor/Associate Library Professor	<p>Candidates should have:</p> <p>(a) A minimum of three years since last promotion</p> <p>(b) At least 6.0 points from publication since last promotion and 3 points from teaching.</p> <p>(c) Papers should be in international journals only.</p>
6.	Associate Professor/Associate Library Professor to Professor/Library Professor	<p>Candidates should have:</p> <p>(a) A minimum of three years since last promotion</p> <p>(b) At least 7.0 points from publications since last promotion and 2 points from teaching.</p> <p>(c) Papers should be in international journals only.</p>

GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC STAFF

1. EVALUATION TEAM

- 1.2 Every College/School/Institute/Department/should form an evaluation team for evaluating publications, research, consultancy and other materials submitted by authors for the purpose of promotion.
- 1.3 An evaluation team shall normally be comprised of senior members of staff. Independent assessors outside the College/School/Institute/Department may be used where necessary. Smaller Colleges/Schools/Departments/Institutes are encouraged to co-opt senior members of staff from other units of similar disciplines to be members of their Evaluation Teams.
- 1.4 Staff on leave of absence without pay will not be reviewed. Nevertheless, they must complete and hand in their OPRAS Forms as usual.

2 CONSULTANCY AND EXTENSION WORK

- 2.1 All consultancy and extension services should be reported and registered with the respective Colleges/Schools/Institutes.
- 2.2 Colleges/Schools/Institutes should report on the level and relevance of consultancy/extension services rendered by the staff member in question.
- 2.3 There should be a balance between the various scholarly activities as stipulated in these Guidelines for assessing the performance of academic staff.

3. ASSESSMENT OF PUBLICATIONS

- 3.1 Guidelines for the assessment of academic staff performance should be followed.
- 3.2 In recommending promotions, criteria for the promotion of academic staff to corresponding positions as stipulated in Appendix A (this Appendix) should be followed.
- 3.3 Full Professors are expected to give Professorial Inaugural Lectures in their fields of specialisation within three years of promotion. A professor will select a topic for his/her Professorial Inaugural Lecture in consultation with the Deputy Vice Chancellor (Academic).

4. ASSESSMENT OF PUBLICATIONS BY PRINCIPALS/ DEANS/DIRECTORS AND HEADS OF DEPARTMENT

Assessment of the performance of Principals, Deans, Directors and Heads of Department shall be done by the same evaluation teams that assess other members of staff in respective Colleges/Schools/Institutes. The University administration shall assist where the need for assistance from outside the respective unit arises.

APPENDIX B

PROCEDURES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC STAFF PUBLICATIONS

The following procedures should be followed in assessing staff members' publications for the purpose of promotion:

1. Submission of Publications

- (a) A member of staff seeking promotion should submit to the Head of Department his/her published works together with an updated CV. The following information should be given for every publication submitted:
 - (i) Authorship (indicate all authors for co-authored works)
 - (ii) Title
 - (iii) Publisher and Place
 - (iv) Year of publication (indicate whether before or after the last promotion, using a star for publications after the last promotion)
 - (v) For a book, number of pages; for a journal article, page number, e.g. p. 12-21
 - (vi) For works that are not yet published but have been accepted for publication, requirements in sections (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) above apply. In addition, a letter of acceptance by the publisher must be submitted.
- (b) The Head of Department, College Principal, Dean of School or Institute Director (as the case may be) should identify a suitable assessor and send him/her the submitted publications, together with the CV of the candidate and the promotion criteria. The Head/Dean/Director/Principal is required to ensure that the information in 1(a) above is complete before the publications are sent to the assessor.
 - (i) For promotion to the ranks of Lecturer and Senior Lecturer the assessment is done internally by an academician with a rank above that of the individual being assessed.
 - (ii) For promotion to the ranks of Associate Professor and Full Professor the assessment is done both internally and externally by an academician of the rank of Associate Professor and Full Professor respectively. The external assessor should be from outside Tanzania and should not have a close working/social relationship with the candidate.

The general regulation is that the reviewer/evaluator should be at least one rank higher than that of the person being reviewed.

2. Assessment of Publications

Assessors are required to submit the following information on each publication:

- (a) Assessment of the publication in relation to:
 - (i) Coverage of subject matter
 - (ii) Originality
 - (iii) Contribution to knowledge
 - (iv) Relevance to academic discipline
 - (v) Relevance to individual's own specialisation in an academic discipline
 - (vi) Presentation
 - (vii) Overall quality

- (b) For each aspect (a) (i) to (vii) above, a grade should be given according to the grading system shown below. For the overall quality of the publication (a (vii) above), the grade should reflect the average of a (i) to a (vi) above.

A	-	Excellent
B+	-	Very Good
B	-	Good
C	-	Poor
D	-	Very Poor

3. Overall Assessment of the Author

The assessor should provide an overall assessment of the author by indicating the following:

- (a) Whether the quality of the publications assessed in general reflect the author's current academic rank (*Yes/Not quite/No*)
- (b) Whether the quality of the publications assessed merit promotion of the author to the next academic rank (*Yes/Not quite/No*).
- (c) Any other comments, suggestions, or recommendations.

4. The assessor's name, academic qualification, title, address and signature must be submitted to the Head of Department, together with the assessment report.

5. Where the internal and external reviewers of a publication differ substantially, another external reviewer should be used for arbitration.

6. Assessment by the Department

- (a) After receiving the assessor's report, the Departmental Staff Review Committee is required to go through the assessment from (1) and (2) above, and submit its own recommendation on the assessments to the College/School/Institute Staff Review Committee.

- (b) The Departmental Staff Review Committee should not consider and forward to the College/School/Institute Staff Review Committee any assessment reports that are incomplete.
- (c) The Departmental Staff Review Committee should, on the basis of the assessment, weight every contribution according to the guidelines for assessment of academic staff performance.